

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/394,379	09/10/1999	KYOUNG SUB KIM	008733-D7151	4146
30827	7590 07/11/2003			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
	TON, DC 20006	QI, ZHI QIANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
i)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/394,379	KIM, KYOUNG SUB					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mike Qi	2871	·				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address					
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet of the provision o	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 a, cause the application to becx	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status 4\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 I	May 2003						
1)⊠	•	nis action is non-final.						
2a)□	Since this application is in condition for allows		I matters, prosecution as to the merits	is				
3)□ Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	10				
·	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-26 is/are pending in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	t.					
	ion Papers							
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	a priority updar 35 LL	S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
•	□ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	in priority under 33 O.	5.0. g 113(a)-(a) 51 (1).					
a)		ts have been receiver	1					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* (application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:					

Art Unit: 2871

material."

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 25, recitation ". . . wherein the non-transparent does not overlap at least a Portion of one edge of the sheet material." Should be changed into ". . . wherein the non-transparent film does not overlap at least a Portion of one edge of the sheet

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-23, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of US 5,739,880 (Suzuki et al).

Claims 1, 15, 25 and 26, AAPA discloses (page 2, line 24 – page 4, line 20; Figs.1-2 of the specification) a liquid crystal display device having light source (20) and comprising:

(concerning claims 1 and 15):

a first substrate (4);

Art Unit: 2871

- a second substrate (6) having first and second surfaces (upper and lower surfaces), wherein the first surface (upper surface) is disposed against the first substrate (4);

- a black pattern (24) (non-transparent film) is printed on the left edge of the protective sheet (10a) and it is on the lower surface of the second substrate (6);
- a sheet material (10) disposed between the light source (20) and the second substrate (6), and at least a portion of <u>one edge</u> (such as the right edge) of the sheet material (10) is not directly under the black pattern (24) (the non-transparent film);

(concerning claims 25-26)

- the black pattern (24) (non-transparent film) does not overlap at least of <u>one</u>

<u>edge</u> (such as the right edge) of the sheet material (10).

AAPA does not expressly disclose a non-transparent film coated on a periphery of the second surface (lower surface) of the second substrate.

However, Suzuki discloses (col.12, line 28 – col.15, line 51; Figs.2-9) that a liquid crystal display device having a shield tape (TAPE) is stuck to the lower face of the lower substrate (SUB1) at the portion in which the seal member (SL) and the black matrix (BM) are not overlapped, and the shield tape (TAPE) is preferable black, and the shielding means is a shielding coating film, so as to prevent the leakage of the back light (BLL). The shield tape (TAPE) is along the seal member (SL) (see Figs. 2 and 9),

Art Unit: 2871

such that the shield tape (TAPE) is coated on the periphery of the lower face of the lower substrate to block the light emitted from the light source.

Suzuki indicates (col.15, lines 3-11) that the shield tape (TAPE) is stuck to the outside of the substrate (SUB1), and the light (BLL) emitted from the back light is interrupted at the portion other than the display region by the black matrix (BM) and the shield tape (TAPE), so that a color liquid crystal display element having an excellent display quality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use a non-transparent black film coated on a periphery of the lower surface of the lower substrate as claimed in claims 1, 15, 25 and 26 for preventing the light leakage from the back light and achieving an excellent display quality.

Claims 2 and 16, AAPA discloses (Fig.1-2) that the black matrix (26) (non-transparent material) is formed in the periphery portion and is formed on the lower surface (second surface) of the upper substrate (4) (first substrate).

Claims 3 and 17, the black matrix (non-transparent material) is formed on the upper surface (first surface) of the lower substrate (second substrate) would have been at least an obvious variation according to the different application to improve the display contrast.

Claims 6 and 20, see the explanation of Suzuki above, Suzuki discloses (Fig.9) that the shield tape (TAPE) (non-transparent film) and the black matrix (BM) (non-transparent material) are partially overlapping throughout the periphery of the lower

Art Unit: 2871

substrate (SUB1) (second substrate), so as to block the light leakage from the back light (BLL).

Claim 10, AAPA (Figs.1-2) discloses that a sheet material (10) includes a protective sheet (10a), a prism sheet (10b) and a diffusion sheet (10c) and disposed between the light source (20) and the second substrate (6).

Claim 11, AAPA discloses (Fig.1-2) that the black matrix (BM) (non-transparent material) is formed in the periphery portion and is formed on the lower surface (second surface) of the upper substrate (4) (first substrate).

Claim 12, see the explanation of Suzuki above, Suzuki discloses (Fig.9) that the shield tape (TAPE) (non-transparent film) and the black matrix (BM) (non-transparent material) are partially overlapping throughout the periphery of the lower substrate (SUB1) (second substrate), so as to block the light leakage from the back light (BLL).

Claims 4-5, 7-8,13-14,18-19, 21-22, AAPA discloses (Figs.1-2) the non-transparent material is a black matrix (26); the non-transparent film is a black pattern (24) (black film).

Claim 23, AAPA discloses (page 4, lines 3-5 of the specification) that the black pattern (24) (black film) is printed. Suzuki discloses (col.15, lines 49-51) that the shielding means (black film) is a shielding coating film. Therefore, it would have been at least obvious to make a black film using printing process or coating process.

3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of US 5,739,880 (Suzuki et al) and US 6,504,598 (Kashima et al).

Art Unit: 2871

Claim 24, AAPA discloses (page 2, line 24 – page 4, line 20; Figs.1-2 of the specification) a liquid crystal display device having light source (20) and comprising:

- a first substrate (4);
- a second substrate (6) having first and second surfaces (upper and lower surfaces), wherein the first surface (upper surface) is disposed against the first substrate (4);
- a black pattern (24) (non-transparent film) is printed on the left edge of the protective sheet (10a) and it is on the lower surface of the second substrate
 (6);
- a sheet material (10) disposed between the light source (20) and the second substrate (6).

AAPA does not expressly disclose a non-transparent film coated on a periphery of the second surface (lower surface) of the second substrate, and the sheet material comprising an uppermost sub-layer having a first length and at least one underlying sub-layer arranged under the uppermost sub-layer and having a second length, and the first length is substantially equal to the second length.

However, Suzuki discloses (col.12, line 28 – col.15, line 51; Figs.2-9) that a liquid crystal display device having a shield tape (TAPE) is stuck to the lower face of the lower substrate (SUB1) at the portion in which the seal member (SL) and the black matrix (BM) are not overlapped, and the shield tape (TAPE) is preferable black, and the shielding means is a shielding coating film, so as to prevent the leakage of the back light (BLL). The shield tape (TAPE) is along the seal member (SL) (see Figs. 2 and 9),

Art Unit: 2871

such that the shield tape (TAPE) is coated on the periphery of the lower face of the lower substrate to block the light emitted from the light source.

Suzuki indicates (col.15, lines 3-11) that the shield tape (TAPE) is stuck to the outside of the substrate (SUB1), and the light (BLL) emitted from the back light is interrupted at the portion other than the display region by the black matrix (BM) and the shield tape (TAPE), so that a color liquid crystal display element having an excellent display quality.

Although Suzuki does not disclose the sheet material layers have equal lengths.

However, Kashima discloses (col.1, lines 21-33; Fig.11) that a typical structure of a conventional backlight system and an LCD device in which using diffusion sheet (25) to diffuse the light emitted from the light guide (22) and using prism sheet (26) to converge the light emitted from the light guide (22), and the diffusion sheet (25), the prism sheet (26) having equal lengths (see Fig.11). Because the light emitted from the light guide is diffused by the diffusion sheet, and is converged by the prism sheet to enter the liquid crystal cell, so that the luminance would be enhanced and the viewing angle would be enlarged. Conventionally, using a protection sheet to protect the underlying sheet from the dust or scratches.

Since using equal lengths for the protection sheet, prism sheet and the diffusion sheet would be easy to manufacture and would have sufficient luminance in a high efficiency.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use a non-transparent black film coated on a periphery of the

Art Unit: 2871

lower surface of the lower substrate as claimed in claims 24 for preventing the light leakage from the back light and achieving an excellent display quality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use equal lengths for the protection sheet, prism sheet and diffusion sheet as claimed in claim 24 for achieving sufficient luminance in a high efficiency.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 10-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6213. The examiner can normally be reached on 349.

Art Unit: 2871

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mike Qi June 19, 2003 RODERT H. KIM SUPERVISCIIV CATTAT MIAMINER TECHNOLOGY CLUVIA 2800